



If you were hit while riding in Denver, the question usually comes up fast: how long do I actually have to file a claim?

The short answer is that many bicycle accident cases in Colorado are governed by a three-year deadline when the crash involves a motor vehicle. That sounds simple, but real cases rarely stay simple for long. The right deadline can depend on who caused the crash, what kind of claim you are filing, whether a government entity was involved, and whether you are dealing with an insurance claim or a lawsuit in court. Those are not interchangeable.

That distinction matters. I have seen injured cyclists assume they were “in the process” because they had spoken with an insurance adjuster, only to learn later that the legal filing deadline was still running in the background. Once the statute of limitations expires, the leverage in the case can disappear almost overnight.

Denver riders face a unique mix of traffic conditions, road design issues, weather swings, and heavy commuter movement. A bike crash downtown, a dooring incident in Capitol Hill, or a collision near a construction zone on a busier arterial can all raise different questions about fault and timing. If you are sorting out medical care, missed work, bike replacement, and insurance calls at the same time, the calendar is easy to underestimate.

The basic deadline most Denver cyclists should know

In many Colorado bicycle accident cases involving a motor vehicle, the statute of limitations is three years from the date of the crash. That is often the first number a Bicycle Accident Lawyer Denver residents trust will mention, because it is the deadline that catches many people off guard.

A statute of limitations is the legal cutoff for filing a lawsuit. It is not the deadline for calling an insurer, not the deadline for repairing your bike, and not the deadline for deciding whether the driver seemed apologetic. It is the deadline for formally bringing the case in court.

That three-year rule is especially important in bike cases because many collisions involve cars, trucks, buses, or rideshare vehicles. Even if the cyclist suffered significant injuries and the insurance company has been communicating for months, the clock does not pause just because discussions are happening. Negotiation and legal preservation are two different tracks.

People often hear “claim” and use it broadly. In practice, there are usually two separate paths. One is an insurance claim, which begins with notice to the insurer and requests for compensation. The other is a legal claim filed in court. Insurance carriers may set their own reporting requirements in the policy, and those can be much earlier than the court deadline. Missing one does not always destroy the other, but it can create serious problems.

Why bicycle accident timing gets confusing

Bike crashes do not fit into a single neat category. Some involve a driver turning across a bike lane. Some involve a parked driver opening a door into a rider’s path. Some involve unsafe road conditions, debris, poor maintenance, or a public vehicle. Some begin as what looks like a simple low-speed impact and later turn into a concussion claim, a wrist surgery case, or a long recovery from a shoulder injury.

The confusion usually comes from four sources.

First, symptoms do not always show up right away. Adrenaline can cover a lot in the first 24 hours. A cyclist may get up, feel shaken, and assume they escaped with road rash, then learn a week later that they have a fractured scaphoid, a torn meniscus, or a mild traumatic brain injury.

Second, people often wait to see whether the insurer will “do the right thing.” Sometimes it does. Often it does not. Cases can stall while the injured rider attends physical therapy, misses work, and sends records back and forth.

Third, fault disputes are common. Drivers say the cyclist came out of nowhere. Cyclists say the driver turned without looking. Witnesses move away, video gets overwritten, and damaged bikes get repaired or discarded before anyone fully documents them.

Fourth, government involvement changes the timing. If the at-fault vehicle belonged to a city agency, or if the theory of the case involves a dangerous roadway maintained by a public entity, you may be dealing with special notice rules that arrive much sooner than the standard lawsuit deadline.

A lawsuit deadline is not the same as an insurance deadline

This is the distinction that causes the most expensive mistakes.

An insurance claim starts when you report the crash to the relevant insurer, whether that is the driver’s carrier, your own auto carrier if certain coverage applies, or another source of benefits. Insurance companies generally want prompt notice. Some policies use language like “as soon as practicable,” which is deliberately flexible but still meaningful. Wait too long, and the insurer may argue that late notice interfered with its ability to investigate.

A lawsuit deadline is different. That is the date by which your attorney must file the complaint in court. If that date passes, the defense will usually seek dismissal, and courts often enforce those deadlines strictly.

It is possible to satisfy one deadline and miss the other. For example, a rider may report the crash promptly and spend two years negotiating, believing the case is active, only to discover that no lawsuit was filed before the legal cutoff. It is also possible to preserve a lawsuit while disputes with insurers continue.

The safest approach is to treat every deadline as real and earlier than you think you need it to be.

When the deadline may be shorter than three years

Not every bicycle injury case in Denver gets the benefit of a straightforward three-year period. The facts can shorten the timeline significantly.

The most common example is a claim involving a government entity. If a city vehicle, a county vehicle, a state agency, or another public body may be legally responsible, Colorado law can require a much earlier formal notice. This is not the same as casually telling someone at the agency what happened. Formal notice rules tend to be technical, and mistakes in timing or content can damage the case.

Another situation involves claims that are not really about a motor vehicle collision at all. A bicycle crash caused by a dangerous property condition, negligent maintenance, or a product defect can raise a different set of limitation issues. The legal theory matters.

Wrongful death claims, survival claims, and claims involving minors can also introduce different timing considerations. These cases are fact-specific. The deadline that applies to an adult injured in a traffic collision may not map neatly onto a fatal crash case or a child's injury case.

Here are situations where extra caution is warranted:

1. A city, county, or state vehicle was involved.
2. Road design, road maintenance, or public infrastructure may have contributed.
3. The injured cyclist is a minor.
4. The crash led to a fatality.
5. A defective bike component or helmet may be part of the case.

Each of those circumstances can alter the legal analysis, and some can trigger much shorter notice requirements than people expect.

Government claims are where delay hurts fastest

Denver cyclists are sometimes surprised to learn how often public entities become part of a bike injury case. It is not limited to being struck by a city bus. It could involve a government-owned truck, a roadway defect, a signal timing issue, a dangerous pothole that had gone unaddressed, or a piece of public infrastructure that created an unreasonable hazard.

Claims against public bodies often come with notice requirements measured in months, not years. That catches people who assume the ordinary injury timeline applies. By the time they realize a public entity may bear part of the blame, the shorter notice window may already be closing.

These cases also require careful framing. Not every bad road condition creates a viable claim, and public immunity rules can be complicated. But from a practical standpoint, the lesson is simple: if a government vehicle or roadway issue may be involved, do not sit on the case.

I have seen situations where a cyclist focused entirely on the driver who made contact, only later discovering that a construction detour, obscured signage, or an unrepaired street defect played a major role. By then, key scene evidence had changed. Cones moved. Pavement markings were repainted. Surveillance footage was gone.

What happens if you miss the filing deadline

If the statute of limitations passes before suit is filed, the defense will usually raise that issue early and aggressively. In plain terms, you can lose the right to recover through the court system even if liability was strong and your injuries were real.

That can feel harsh, especially where the insurer had been communicating and never clearly said, "By the way, your legal deadline is approaching." But insurance companies are not responsible for protecting your filing date.

Their job is to evaluate exposure and pay as little as they can justify under the circumstances.

Missing the deadline also destroys bargaining power. Carriers negotiate differently when they know a lawsuit can still be filed. Once that threat is gone, settlement discussions often change for the worse.

There is another cost that arrives before the formal deadline passes: weaker evidence. Waiting six months or a year can make a case harder to prove even if you are still technically on time. Witness memories fade. Bike damage is repaired. Helmet cracks are thrown out. Cell phone data disappears. Nearby businesses overwrite camera footage, often in days or weeks rather than months.

The clock may start before your injuries are fully understood

This is one of the hardest parts for injured cyclists. You may not know the full extent of your damages for weeks or months, especially with head injuries, orthopedic injuries, or chronic pain. But uncertainty about the medical picture does not usually stop the statute from running.

A rider with a “simple” shoulder injury may later learn it is a labral tear requiring surgery. Someone who walked away with dizziness may eventually be diagnosed with a concussion affecting work performance and concentration. A fractured wrist can become a much bigger damages case if it limits grip strength and interferes with employment.

That is why early legal evaluation matters even when you are still in treatment. Filing does not always need to happen immediately, but strategy needs to begin early enough to preserve options.

What to do soon after a Denver bicycle crash

The first days after a crash often shape the legal case more than people realize. Medical care comes first, obviously, but documentation is a close second.

A practical early response usually includes:

1. Get medical evaluation promptly, even if the injuries seem manageable at first.
2. Preserve photos of the scene, the bike, your clothing, helmet, and visible injuries.
3. Identify the driver, witnesses, and any nearby cameras.
4. Avoid giving detailed recorded statements before you understand your injuries.
5. Speak with counsel early if fault, serious injury, or government involvement is on the table.

That is not about turning every bike wreck into litigation. It is about not accidentally giving away a valid case while you are still figuring out what happened.

Insurance adjusters move on a different timeline than injured riders

There is a rhythm to these cases that people only learn once they have been through one. The rider is focused on healing, transportation, missed paychecks, and whether they can get back on the road without pain. The adjuster is focused on documentation gaps, comparative fault arguments, prior injuries, and pressure points that might reduce the payout.

A common pattern looks like this: the adjuster calls early, seems sympathetic, asks for a recorded statement, requests broad medical authorizations, and starts discussing bike damage before the rider even knows whether they need follow-up care. None of that means the adjuster is acting improperly. It does mean the rider should understand the game being played.

In Denver bicycle cases, comparative negligence often becomes a central issue. Was the cyclist visible? Did the rider move from the shoulder into a traffic lane? Was there a bike lane, and if so, was it blocked? Did the driver fail to yield? Was the rider passing on the right? The earlier your side gathers facts, the better chance you have of resisting a partial-fault discount.

Special issues in dooring, hit-and-run, and rideshare cases

Not every bike crash is a straightforward rear-end collision.

Dooring cases can look obvious, but they still require proof about where the cyclist was riding, how suddenly the door opened, and whether the rider had room to avoid it. Photos of the lane, parked vehicles, and damage patterns help more than people think.

Hit-and-run cases create a different urgency. If the driver disappears, your ability to recover may depend on uninsured motorist coverage or other policy benefits. Those claims have their own notice requirements, and prompt reporting becomes critical. A rider who does not own a car sometimes assumes auto-related coverage is irrelevant, but household policies and vehicle access issues can complicate that assumption. A lawyer usually needs to review the insurance landscape carefully.

Rideshare cases add another layer because several policies may be in play depending on whether the driver was off-app, waiting for a ride, or actively transporting a passenger. Those facts change coverage dramatically. Waiting to clarify them can waste valuable time.

Minors and families should be especially careful

When a child is injured on a bike, parents often hear that “the deadline is different for minors” and stop worrying about timing. That can be a costly oversimplification.

Some rules do treat minors differently, but parents may still have their own derivative claims, and evidence preservation problems do not pause just because the injured person is under eighteen. If school-zone traffic, municipal road conditions, or a public vehicle are involved, shorter notice obligations can still become a problem.

The emotional side of these cases also leads families to delay. They want the child focused on recovery, not legal paperwork. That instinct is understandable. It just needs to be paired with early investigation behind the scenes.

Why waiting until the last year is risky even if you technically can

On paper, three years sounds generous. In practice, the final year can become a scramble.

By then, treatment may have taken unexpected turns. Medical records may still be incomplete. A surgeon may be recommending future care that changes the value of the case. Expert review may be needed on bike mechanics, crash reconstruction, or long-term impairment. If suit needs to be filed quickly because the deadline is approaching, you may end up litigating before the claim is fully developed.

There is also a tactical issue. Filing near the end of the limitation period leaves little room for correcting mistakes, investigating newly discovered defendants, or adapting if a governmental issue emerges late. If the wrong party was initially blamed, or if ownership of a vehicle or roadway segment turns out to be more complicated than expected, the margin for error shrinks fast.

Good bicycle injury work in Denver often depends on details that take time to assemble. Intersection geometry, sight lines, bike lane design, weather records, dispatch records, body cam footage, event data, and medical

progression all tell part of the story. Those pieces are easier to collect early.

When to call a lawyer

The best time to get legal advice is usually much earlier than people think. That does not mean every scraped elbow needs representation. It means certain facts should trigger a prompt conversation.

If the injuries are more than minor, if there is disputed fault, if the driver was uninsured or fled, if a public entity might be involved, or if your medical treatment is continuing beyond the initial visit, it makes sense to get clarity on deadlines and strategy. A Bicycle Accident Lawyer Denver cyclists consult should be able to explain the difference between insurance handling and lawsuit timing, flag any special notice issues, and help preserve the evidence that tends to disappear first.

Many people worry that calling a lawyer will escalate things unnecessarily. Often the opposite is true. Early legal involvement can keep the process organized, prevent unforced errors, and allow the rider to focus on treatment instead of chasing records and arguing with adjusters.

The safest answer to “how long do I have?”

If you want the practical answer rather than the abstract one, here it is: do not plan your case around the outermost legal deadline.

For many Denver bicycle crashes involving motor **You can find out more** vehicles, three years may be the statute of limitations for filing suit. But some cases require action much sooner, especially when government entities or unusual liability theories are involved. Even when the full three years technically applies, waiting creates risk that has nothing to do with the date on the calendar. Evidence weakens, witnesses scatter, and insurers gain leverage.

The riders who put themselves in the strongest position are usually the ones who treat the first few weeks seriously. They get checked medically. They document the bike and the scene. They identify coverage. They learn the deadlines before they become urgent.

That approach does not guarantee recovery. It does something just as important. It preserves the right to pursue it.

CGH Injury Lawyers

Address: 2701 Lawrence St Ste 201, Denver, CO 80205

FAQ About Bicycle Accident Lawyer Denver

How much compensation for a cycling accident?

UK bicycle accident compensation payouts typically range from £2,000 for minor soft-tissue injuries to over £200,000 for severe, life-altering trauma, calculated using Cycle Accident Compensation Calculator tools.

Who is at fault if a car hits a bicycle?

Fault in a car-and-bicycle collision depends on the specific actions of both parties and whether either person was negligent by breaking traffic laws.

What percentage do accident attorneys usually take?

Accident attorneys usually take 33% to 40% of your final settlement or court award.